UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,591	03/23/2004	Matthew R. Sivik	3258	2464
²⁶⁶⁴⁵ The Lubrizol Co	7590 12/28/201 orporation	EXAMINER		
29400 Lakeland	l Blvd.	LANG, AMY T		
Wickliffe, OH 44092-2298			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/806,591	SIVIK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AMY LANG	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. lely filed the mailing date of this coorsists (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Octoor 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2 octoor 2 oc	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	

Application/Control Number: 10/806,591 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The affidavit under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 10/19/2010 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 18-22 based upon the 35 USC rejection of Olson (US 5,308,514) in view of Smith (US 4,966,722).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Best et al. (US 5,244,957).

With regard to **claim 18**, Best et al. (hereinafter Best) discloses a composition comprising the reaction product of an organic acid, an acid producing compound or its derivative, and a lubricating oil (column 2, lines 27-29; column 2, line 61 through column 3, line 4; column 3, lines 33-45; column 6, lines 48-55). The final composition comprises grease-like properties (column 3, lines 6-7, 46-48, 54-55). Best further

discloses the organic acid as overbased and containing colloidally dispersed calcium carbonate, specifically calcite or vaterite (column 2, lines 40-60; column 3, lines 17-25; column 4, lines 35-50). The acid producing compound is further disclosed as an alkenyl succinic anhydride that reacts with water in the composition to form a succinic acid (column 7, lines 36-60). The alkenyl group is non-polymeric and contains from 4 to 40 carbon atoms (column 7, lines 40-50).

With regard to **claims 19-21**, the alkenyl hydrocarbyl group is a pentadecyl when m is equal to zero (column 7, lines 40-51). It is the Examiner's position that R is meant to comprise C_mH_{2m+1} and R' is meant to comprise C_nH_{2n+1} (column 7, lines 40-65). Therefore, when m is equal to zero and n is equal to 12, the hydrocarbyl group overlaps the claimed pentadecyl.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,591 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

7. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Best (US 5,244,957) in view of Konzman (US 6,063,742)

Best discloses a grease composition essentially as claimed. Although Best discloses various additives including soaps, Best does not specifically teach the composition comprising a thickening agent.

Konzman teaches that it is well known in the art for grease compositions to comprise a thickening agent, in order to form the grease-like consistency (column 7, lines 39-42). One such thickening agent that Konzman teaches as useful is metal soaps (column 7, lines 42-44). Since Best also discloses the addition of soaps to the composition, it would have been to one of ordinary skill in the art for Best to use a metal soap thickener to obtain the grease-like properties disclosed.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMY LANG whose telephone number is (571)272-9057. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

12/22/2010 /AMY LANG/ Examiner, Art Unit 3731